

FORM PTO-1390 OFFICE (REV 11-2000)		U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 449122007800	
TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 371				U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5) 09/914158 <small>Not yet assigned</small>	
INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO PCT/DE00/00315		INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE 2 February 2000		PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED 24 February 1999	
TITLE OF INVENTION METHOD FOR DETERMINING A COMMUNICATION PATH IN A COMMUNICATION NETWORK BETWEEN TWO NEIGHBORING NETWORK NODES					
APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US Clemens HAUBER					
Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information:					
1.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.			
2.	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.			
3.	<input type="checkbox"/>	This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)). The submission must include items (5), (6), (9) and (21) indicated below.			
4.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	The US has been elected by the expiration of 19 months from the priority date (PCT Article 31).			
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2))			
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	is attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).			
b.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	has been communicated by the International Bureau.			
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US).			
6.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	An English language translation of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).			
a.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	is attached hereto.			
b.	<input type="checkbox"/>	has been previously submitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).			
7.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).			
a.	<input type="checkbox"/>	are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).			
b.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	have been communicated by the International Bureau.			
c.	<input type="checkbox"/>	have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.			
d.	<input type="checkbox"/>	have not been made and will not be made.			
8.	<input type="checkbox"/>	An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).			
9.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).			
10.	<input type="checkbox"/>	An English language translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).			
Items 11. to 16. below concern document(s) or information included:					
11.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.			
12.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.			
13.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A FIRST preliminary amendment.			
14.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment.			
15.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A substitute specification.			
16.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A change of power of attorney and/or address letter.			
17.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A computer-readable form of the sequence listing in accordance with PCT Rule 13ter.2 and 35 U.S.C. 1.821 - 1.825.			
18.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A second copy of the published international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).			
19.	<input type="checkbox"/>	A second copy of the English language translation of the international application under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).			
20.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Other items or information: 1. International Preliminary Examination Report 2. International Search Report 3. Application Data Sheet 4. Return receipt postcard.			
CERTIFICATE OF HAND DELIVERY					
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being hand filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office in Washington, D.C. on August 24, 2001					
LaVern Whetstone					

U.S. APPLICATION NO. 09/914158 (37 CFR 1.53) Not Assigned	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/DE00/00315	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER: 449122007800
---	--	--

21. ☒ The following fees are submitted:

BASIC NATIONAL FEE (37 CFR 1.492(a)(1)-(5)):

Neither international preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) nor international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO and International Search Report not prepared by the EPO or JPO.....\$1,000.00

International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO.....\$860.00

International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2)) paid to USPTO.....\$710.00

International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO but all claims did not satisfy provision of PCT Article 33(1)-(4).....\$690.00

International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) paid to USPTO and all claims satisfied provisions of PCT Article 33(1)-(4).....\$100.00

ENTER APPROPRIATE BASIC FEE AMOUNT =		\$860.00
Surcharge of \$130.00 for furnishing the oath or declaration later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(e)).		
		\$0
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA
RATE		
Total claims	2 - 20 =	0
		x \$18.00
Independent claims	1 - 3 =	0
		x \$80.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)		+ \$270.00
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =		\$860.00
<input type="checkbox"/> Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27. The fees indicated above are reduced by 1/2.		
SUBTOTAL =		\$860.00
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than <input type="checkbox"/> 20 <input type="checkbox"/> 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).		
		+
TOTAL NATIONAL FEE =		\$860.00
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property		
		+
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =		\$900.00
		Amount to be refunded: \$
		charged: \$


a. ☒ Please charge my **Deposit Account No. 03-1952** in the amount of \$ 900.00 to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

b. ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required, or credit any overpayment to **Deposit Account No. 03-1952**. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.494 or 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.

SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Kevin R. Spivak
 Morrison & Foerster LLP
 2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
 Washington, D.C. 20006-1888


 SIGNATURE

Kevin R. Spivak
 Registration No. 43,148

09/914158 PATENT
Docket No. 449122007800

CERTIFICATE OF HAND DELIVERY

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being hand filed with the United States Patent and Trademark Office in Washington, D.C. on August 24, 2001.


Layne Whetstone

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the application of:

Clemens HAUBER

Serial No.: Not yet Assigned

Filing Date: August 24, 2001

For: METHOD FOR DETERMINING A
COMMUNICATION PATH IN A
COMMUNICATION NETWORK
BETWEEN TWO NEIGHBORING
NETWORK NODES

Examiner: Not yet Assigned

Group Art Unit: Not yet Assigned

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Prior to examination on the merits, please amend this application as follows:

In the Specification:

Page 1 before the first paragraph, please delete the following:

Description

Please amend the title as follows:

**METHOD FOR DETERMINING A CONNECTION PATH IN A
COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK BETWEEN TWO ADJACENT NETWORK NODES.**

Page 1, delete lines 7-8.

Page 1, between lines 8 and 9 has been amended to include the following:

CLAIM FOR PRIORITY

This application claims priority to International Application No. PCT/DE00/00315 which was published in the German language on February 2, 2000.

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to a method of determining a connection path in a network, and in particular, to determining a connection path in a communications network between adjacent network nodes.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Paragraph beginning on line 15 of page 1 has been amended as follows:

In current communications networks, different traffic combinations are routed via the connection paths arranged between two or more network nodes. Thus, for example, information can be transmitted by a synchronous (STM) or an asynchronous (ATM) transfer mode. The information may have different bandwidths. Thus, information which is transmitted in the form

of narrowband signals is normally distinguished from information which is transmitted in the form of wideband or broadband signals. Special significance is therefore attached to the connection set-up between two adjacent nodes, i.e. network nodes interconnected via a trunk group.

Paragraph beginning on line 10 of page 2 has been amended as follows:

A hunting strategy of this type is disclosed in the document entitled "Probability of Loss of Data Traffics with different Bit Rates Hunting One Common PCM Channel, Proceedings of the 8th International Teletraffic Congress (ITC 8), 1976, pp. 525.1 -525.8, Lothar Katzschner, and Reinhard Scheller".

Paragraph beginning on line 25 of page 3 has been amended as follows:

The disadvantage of the described hunting strategy method is that, with high utilization of the trunk group, in the event of a connection request for a high bit rate connection, it may no longer be possible in some cases to accept this connection, since, although many gaps are available, none of them is large enough. Furthermore, in particular in the case of low utilization of the trunk group, uneven load distribution ("unbalanced load") results.

Page 3, between lines 33 and 34 has been amended to include the following heading:

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In one embodiment of the invention, a method for determining a connection path in a communications network, comprising, routing a plurality of connections via a plurality of trunks between two adjacent network nodes and which reserve transmission capacities on the plurality of trunks, determining which of the plurality of trunks, at least one additional connection is to be accommodated, using a search algorithm on the basis of an acceptance criterion to determine if the connection can still be accommodated, wherein a classification of the additional connection into two classes is carried out, performing a check when one of the classes is determined to be an

HBR in order to ascertain whether a freely available residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ of the determined trunk is equal to or greater than a peak bit rate of the additional connection and, the trunk whose free residual transmission capacity most exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection is selected from the determined trunks, and performing a check to ascertain whether a remainder from a modulo-division of the freely available residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ of the determined trunk by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the additional connection and the trunk whose remainder from modulo-division of the free residual transmission capacity by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections least exceeds the peak bit rate of connection selected from the determined trunks, otherwise an additional search cycle is started to determine whether the freely available residual transmission capacity ($C_r(T_i)$) of the determined trunk is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the additional connection and, the trunk whose free residual transmission capacity least exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection is selected from the determined trunks.

In one aspect of the invention, there is the search cycle beginning with a first trunk of the plurality of trunks, and is applied to each trunk ($T_1 \dots T_n$) and ends with the last trunk in the plurality of trucks.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention is explained in detail below with reference to an embodiment shown in the drawing, in which:

Fig. 1 shows an exemplary configuration on which the method according to the invention is carried out.

Fig. 2 shows an exemplary search algorithm according to the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Paragraph beginning on line 35 of page 3 has been amended as follows:

The invention discloses a way in which connection paths can be determined in a communications network, even with non-homogeneous traffic.

Please delete lines 1-3 of page 4.

Paragraph beginning on line 5 of page 4 has been amended as follows:

An advantageous feature of the invention is the provision of a new hunting strategy. The search to identify the largest gaps for high bit rate connections produces excellent results in terms of blocking probability and load distribution.

Please delete lines 4-5 of page 4.

Paragraph beginning on line 25 of page 4 has been amended as follows:

Fig. 1 shows a communications network. In this example, 4 network nodes $N_1 \dots N_4$ are indicated. Of course, more or less network nodes can be used in the network. Two network nodes, for example network nodes N_1, N_4 are interconnected via a trunk group TG. A plurality of trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ are disposed in the trunk group TG. Each of the trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ has a specified transmission capacity C_s as the physical transmission parameter. The residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ ($i=1 \dots n$) which is freely available for further connections is derived from the physical transmission capacity C_s minus the sum of the peak bit rates R_{pj} of the m connections ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$) instantaneously carried thereover.

Paragraph beginning on line 4 of page 5 has been amended as follows:

It is assumed below that a connection V is to be set up from the network node N_1 to the network node N_4 . The corresponding relationships are illustrated in Fig. 2.

Paragraph beginning on line 20 of page 5 has been amended as follows:

The hunting strategy typically begins with the first trunk T_1 of the trunk group TG and always ends with the last trunk T_n , for highest bit rate connections after one search cycle, and for non-highest bit rate connections after one or two search cycles.

Paragraph beginning on line 26 of page 5 has been amended as follows:

A highest bit rate connection V associated with class HBR is accepted on one of the trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ if the free residual transmission capacity of the latter most exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection. The new connection V is thus sorted into the "largest gap". As shown in Fig. 2, two criteria are thus required for sorting. On the one hand, the freely available residual transmission capacity of the trunk T_i currently being examined must be equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the new connection V. On the other hand, the free residual transmission capacity must most exceed the peak bit rate of the new connection V. To do this, a variable $C_{r_Letzt_Optimum}$ is introduced, in which the greatest currently determined value is always recorded. For the highest bit rate connections of the class HBR, the search always ends accordingly after one search cycle.

Paragraph beginning on line 4 of page 6 has been amended as follows:

If the new connection V is a non-highest bit rate connection which is allocated to the class N-HBR, it is accepted on one of the trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ if the free residual transmission capacity of the latter, following subtraction of a largest possible multiple of the peak bit rate $R_p(\text{HBR})$ of highest bit rate connections ("ensuring the largest gaps for high bit rate connections"), least exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection V. The new connection V is thus sorted into the "smallest gap following subtraction of the largest possible reservation budget for connections of the class HBR". As shown in Fig. 2, there are two criteria for sorting. On the one hand, the remainder from modulo-division of the freely available residual transmission capacity of the currently examined trunk T_i by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections must be equal to

or greater than the peak bit rate of the new connection V. On the other hand, the remainder from modulo-division of the free residual transmission capacity by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections must least exceed the peak bit rate of the new connection V. To do this, a variable $C_{r_Letzt_Optimum}$ is introduced here also, in which the lowest currently determined value is always recorded. If the search according to the aforementioned criteria is successful, the search ends after one search cycle for non-highest bit rate connections of the class N-HBR.

Paragraph beginning on line 33 of page 6 has been amended as follows:

If the new connection V cannot be sorted on any of the trunks, a second search cycle is started. The connection V is then - without taking into account a reservation budget for connections of the class HBR - accepted on one of the trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ if the free residual transmission capacity of the latter least exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection. The new connection V is thus sorted into the "smallest gap". As shown in Fig. 2, there are two criteria for sorting. On the one hand, the freely available residual transmission capacity of the currently examined trunk T_i must be equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the new connection V. On the other hand, the free residual transmission capacity must least exceed the peak bit rate of the new connection V. To do this, the lowest currently determined value is always recorded in the variable $C_{r_Letzt_Optimum}$. For non-highest bit rate connections of the class N-HBR, the search ends accordingly after this second search cycle at the latest.

On page 8, line 1, please replace "Claims" with --WHAT IS CLAIMED IS--.

In the Claims:

1. (Amended) A method for determining a connection path in a communications network, comprising:

routing a plurality of connections via a plurality of trunks between two adjacent network nodes and which reserve transmission capacities on the plurality of trunks;

determining which of the plurality of trunks at least one additional connection is to be accommodated, using a search algorithm on the basis of an acceptance criterion to determine if the connection can still be accommodated, wherein

a classification of the additional connection into two classes is carried out; and

performing a check when one of the classes is determined to be an HBR in order to ascertain whether a freely available residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ of the determined trunk is equal to or greater than a peak bit rate of the additional connection and the trunk whose free residual transmission capacity most exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection is selected from the determined trunks, and

performing a check when one of the classes is determined to be a N-HBR in order to ascertain whether a remainder from a modulo-division of the freely available residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ of the determined trunk by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the additional connection and the trunk whose remainder from modulo-division of the free residual transmission capacity by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections least exceeds the peak bit rate of connection selected from the determined trunks, otherwise an additional search cycle is started to determine whether the freely available residual transmission capacity ($C_r(T_i)$) of the determined trunk is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the additional connection and, the trunk whose free residual transmission capacity least exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection is selected from the determined trunks.

2. (Amended) The method according to claim 1,

wherein

the search cycle begins with a first trunk of the plurality of trunks and is applied to each trunk and ends with the last trunk in the plurality of trucks.

In the Abstract:

Please replace the Abstract in its entirety with the Abstract attached hereto.

REMARKS

The above amendments to the specification, claims and abstract have been made to place the application in proper U.S. format and to conform with proper grammatical and idiomatic English. None of the amendments herein are made for reasons related to patentability. No new matter has been added.

Attached hereto is a marked-up version of the changes made to the specification and claims by the current amendment. The attached page is captioned "**Version with markings to show changes made**".

In the unlikely event that the transmittal letter is separated from this document and the Patent Office determines that an extension and/or other relief is required, applicant petitions for any required relief including extensions of time and authorizes the Commissioner to charge the cost of such petitions and/or other fees due in connection with the filing of this document to **Deposit Account No. 03-1952** referencing docket no. **449122007800**. However, the Commissioner is not authorized to charge the cost of the issue fee to the Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 24, 2001

By:


Kevin R. Spivak
Registration No. 43,148

Morrison & Foerster LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006-1888
Telephone: (202) 887-6924
Facsimile: (202) 263-8396

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

For the convenience of the Examiner, the changes made are shown below with deleted text in strikethrough and added text in underline.

In the Specification:

Page 1 before the first paragraph, please delete the following:

Description

METHOD FOR DETERMINING A CONNECTION PATH IN A COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK BETWEEN TWO ADJACENT NETWORK NODES.

Page 1, line 7, please delete the following:

~~The invention relates to a method according to the preamble to claim 1.~~

Page 1, between lines 8 and 9 has been amended to include the following:

CLAIM FOR PRIORITY

This application claims priority to International Application No. PCT/DE00/00315 which was published in the German language on February 2, 2000.

TECHNICAL FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to a method of determining a connection path in a network, and in particular, to determining a connection path in a communications network between adjacent network nodes.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Paragraph beginning on line 15 of page 1 has been amended as follows:

In current communications networks, different traffic combinations are routed via the connection paths arranged between two or more network nodes. Thus, for example, information can be transmitted by ~~means of~~ a synchronous (STM) or an asynchronous (ATM) transfer mode. The information may have different bandwidths. Thus, information which is transmitted in the form of narrowband signals is normally distinguished from information which is transmitted in the form of wideband or broadband signals. Special significance is therefore attached to the connection set-up between two adjacent nodes, i.e. network nodes interconnected via a trunk group.

Paragraph beginning on line 10 of page 2 has been amended as follows:

A hunting strategy of this type is disclosed in the ~~known from the~~ document entitled "Probability of Loss of Data Traffic with different Bit Rates Hunting One Common PCM Channel, Proceedings of the 8th International Teletraffic Congress (ITC 8), 1976, pp. 525.1 - 525.8, Lothar Katzschner, and Reinhard Scheller".

Paragraph beginning on line 25 of page 3 has been amended as follows:

The disadvantage of the described ~~known~~ hunting strategy method is that, with high utilization of the trunk group, in the event of a connection request for a high bit rate connection, it may no longer be possible in some cases to accept this connection, since, although many gaps are available, none of them is large enough. Furthermore, in particular in the case of low utilization of the trunk group, uneven load distribution ("unbalanced load") results.

Page 3, between lines 33 and 34 has been amended to include the following heading:

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In one embodiment of the invention, a method for determining a connection path in a communications network, comprising, routing a plurality of connections via a plurality of trunks

between two adjacent network nodes and which reserve transmission capacities on the plurality of trunks, determining which of the plurality of trunks, at least one additional connection is to be accommodated, using a search algorithm on the basis of an acceptance criterion to determine if the connection can still be accommodated, wherein a classification of the additional connection into two classes is carried out, performing a check when one of the classes is determined to be an HBR in order to ascertain whether a freely available residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ of the determined trunk is equal to or greater than a peak bit rate of the additional connection and, the trunk whose free residual transmission capacity most exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection is selected from the determined trunks, and performing a check to ascertain whether a remainder from a modulo-division of the freely available residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ of the determined trunk by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the additional connection and the trunk whose remainder from modulo-division of the free residual transmission capacity by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections least exceeds the peak bit rate of connection selected from the determined trunks, otherwise an additional search cycle is started to determine whether the freely available residual transmission capacity ($C_r(T_i)$) of the determined trunk is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the additional connection and, the trunk whose free residual transmission capacity least exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection is selected from the determined trunks.

In one aspect of the invention, there is the search cycle beginning with a first trunk of the plurality of trunks, and is applied to each trunk ($T_1 \dots T_n$) and ends with the last trunk in the plurality of trucks.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention is explained in detail below with reference to an embodiment shown in the drawing, in which:

Fig. 1 shows an exemplary configuration on which the method according to the invention is carried out.

Fig. 2 shows an exemplary search algorithm according to the invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Paragraph beginning on line 35 of page 3 has been amended as follows:

The ~~object of the invention is to indicate~~ discloses a way in which connection paths can be determined in a communications network, even with non-homogeneous traffic.

Paragraph beginning on line 1 of page 4 has been amended as follows:

~~The object is achieved on the basis of the features indicated in the preamble to claim 1 by means of the features indicated in the characterizing part.~~

Paragraph beginning on line 5 of page 4 has been amended as follows:

An ~~particularly~~ advantageous feature of the invention is the provision of a new hunting strategy. The search to identify the largest gaps for high bit rate connections produces excellent results in terms of blocking probability and load distribution. ~~The search to find the smallest suitable gap used in the state of the art is thus clearly surpassed.~~

Paragraph beginning on line 13 of page 4 has been amended as follows:

~~Advantageous further developments of the invention are indicated in subclaim 2.~~

~~The invention is explained in detail below with reference to an embodiment shown in the drawing, in which:~~

~~Fig 1—shows the configuration on which the method according to the invention is carried out;~~

~~Fig 2—shows the search algorithm according to the invention.~~

Paragraph beginning on line 25 of page 4 has been amended as follows:

Fig. 1 shows a communications network. ~~For the sake of simplicity, only~~ In this example, 4 network nodes $N_1 \dots N_4$ are indicated. Of course, more or less network nodes can be used in the network. Two network nodes, for example network nodes N_1, N_4 are interconnected via a trunk group TG. A plurality of trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ are disposed in the trunk group TG. Each of the trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ has a specified transmission capacity C_s as the physical transmission parameter. The residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ ($i=1 \dots n$) which is freely available for further connections is derived from the physical transmission capacity C_s minus the sum of the peak bit rates R_{pj} of the m connections ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$) instantaneously carried thereover.

Paragraph beginning on line 4 of page 5 has been amended as follows:

It is assumed below that a connection V is to be set up from the network node N_1 to the network node N_4 . The corresponding relationships are illustrated in Fig. 2.

Paragraph beginning on line 20 of page 5 has been amended as follows:

The hunting strategy typically begins ~~is generally started~~ with the first trunk T_1 of the trunk group TG and always ends with the last trunk T_n , for highest bit rate connections after one search cycle, and for non-highest bit rate connections after one or two search cycles.

Paragraph beginning on line 26 of page 5 has been amended as follows:

A highest bit rate connection V associated with class HBR is accepted on one of the trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ if the free residual transmission capacity of the latter most exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection. The new connection V is thus sorted into the "largest gap". As shown in Fig. 2, two criteria are thus required for sorting. On the one hand, the freely available residual transmission capacity of the trunk T_i currently being examined must be equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the new connection V. On the other hand, the free residual transmission capacity must most exceed the peak bit rate of the new connection V. To do this, a variable

$C_{r_Letzt_Optimum}$ is introduced, in which the greatest currently determined value is always recorded. For the highest bit rate connections of the class HBR, the search always ends accordingly after one search cycle.

Paragraph beginning on line 4 of page 6 has been amended as follows:

If the new connection V is a non-highest bit rate connection which is allocated to the class N-HBR, it is accepted on one of the trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ if the free residual transmission capacity of the latter, following subtraction of a largest possible multiple of the peak bit rate $R_p(HBR)$ of highest bit rate connections ("ensuring the largest gaps for high bit rate connections"), least exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection V. The new connection V is thus sorted into the "smallest gap following subtraction of the largest possible reservation budget for connections of the class HBR". As shown in Fig. 2, there are two criteria ~~are thus required~~ for sorting. On the one hand, the remainder from modulo-division of the freely available residual transmission capacity of the currently examined trunk T_i by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections must be equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the new connection V. On the other hand, the remainder from modulo-division of the free residual transmission capacity by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections must least exceed the peak bit rate of the new connection V. To do this, a variable $C_{r_Letzt_Optimum}$ is introduced here also, in which the lowest currently determined value is always recorded. If the search according to the aforementioned criteria is successful, the search ends after one search cycle for non-highest bit rate connections of the class N-HBR.

Paragraph beginning on line 33 of page 6 has been amended as follows:

If the new connection V cannot be sorted on any of the trunks, a second search cycle is started. The connection V is then - without taking into account a reservation budget for connections of the class HBR - accepted on one of the trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ if the free residual transmission capacity of the latter least exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection. The new

connection V is thus sorted into the "smallest gap". As shown in Fig. 2, there are two criteria ~~are~~ required for sorting. On the one hand, the freely available residual transmission capacity of the currently examined trunk T_i must be equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the new connection V. On the other hand, the free residual transmission capacity must least exceed the peak bit rate of the new connection V. To do this, the lowest currently determined value is always recorded in the variable $C_{r_Letzt_Optimum}$. For non-highest bit rate connections of the class N-HBR, the search ends accordingly after this second search cycle at the latest.

On page 8, line 1, please replace "Claims" with --WHAT IS CLAIMED IS--.

In the Claims:

1. (Amended) A method for determining a connection path in a communications network, with comprising:

routing a plurality of connections ~~which are in each case routed~~ via a further plurality of trunks (T_1, \dots, T_n) between two adjacent network nodes (N_1, \dots, N_4); and which reserve transmission capacities (R_{pi}) on these plurality of trunks; (T_1, \dots, T_n); and with

determining which of the plurality of trunks at least one further additional connection (V), which is also to be accommodated, using a on one of these trunks (T_1, \dots, T_n) in that a search algorithm determines the trunk (T_1, \dots, T_n) on which, on the basis of an acceptance criterion, this to determine if the connection (V) can still be accommodated, wherein

characterized in that

a classification of the (at least one) further additional connection (V) into two classes (HBR, N-HBR) is carried out; and

performing a check when one of the classes is determined to be an HBR in order is carried out in the event of association with one class (HBR) to ascertain whether a the freely available residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ of the currently investigated determined trunk (T_i) is equal to or greater than a the peak bit rate of the additional (at least one) further connection (V) and, in this case, the trunk whose free residual transmission capacity most exceeds the peak bit rate of the this connection (V) is selected from the determined trunks determined in this way, and

in the event of association with the remaining class (N-HBR), performing a check is carried out when one of the classes is determined to be a N-HBR in order to ascertain whether the a remainder from a modulo-division of the freely available residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ of the currently investigated determined trunk (T_i) by the peak bit rate ($R_p(\text{HBR})$) of highest bit rate connections is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the (at least one) further additional connection (V) and, in this case, the trunk whose remainder from modulo-division of the free residual transmission capacity by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate

connections least exceeds the peak bit rate of this connection (V) is selected from the determined trunks, ~~determined in this way, or~~ otherwise a further an additional search cycle is started to determine check whether the freely available residual transmission capacity ($C_r(T_i)$) of the ~~currently investigated~~ determined trunk (T_i) is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the ~~(at least one) further~~ additional connection (V) and, ~~in this case,~~ the trunk whose free residual transmission capacity least exceeds the peak bit rate of ~~this the~~ connection (V) is selected from the determined trunks, ~~determined in this way.~~

2. (Amended) The method according to claim 1,

~~characterized in that~~

wherein

~~the a search cycle of the hunting strategy is started~~ begins with the a first trunks (T_1) of the plurality of trunks group (TG), ~~and~~ is applied to ~~all each~~ trunks (T_1, \dots, T_n) and ends with the last trunk in the plurality of trucks (T_n).

In the Abstract:

Please replace the Abstract in its entirety with the Abstract attached hereto.

METHOD FOR DETERMINING A CONNECTION PATH IN A COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK BETWEEN TWO ADJACENT NETWORK NODES

Abstract

In order to authorize a connection on a trunk group comprising a plurality of trunks between two adjacent network nodes, a search algorithm determines the trunk on which this connection can still be accommodated. To do this, a classification of this new connection is first carried out, according to which different search cycles are instigated, with which a trunk with adequate free residual transmission capacity is determined. If the search is unsuccessful, the connection is rejected.

GR 99 P 1266

Description

3/p16

Method for determining a connection path in a communications network between two adjacent network nodes.

- The invention relates to a method according to the preamble to claim 1.
- 10 Current communications networks have a plurality of network nodes which are intermeshed via connection paths. These are formed from a plurality of trunks which are combined to form trunk groups.
- 15 In current communications networks, different traffic combinations are routed via the connection paths arranged between two or more network nodes. Thus, for example, information can be transmitted by means of a synchronous (STM) or an asynchronous (ATM) transfer
- 20 mode. The information may have different bandwidths. Thus, information which is transmitted in the form of narrowband signals is normally distinguished from information which is transmitted in the form of wideband or broadband signals. Special significance is
- 25 therefore attached to the connection set-up between two adjacent nodes, i.e. network nodes interconnected via a trunk group.

- Two decisions generally need to be made when a
- 30 connection is set up in order to determine a connection path between two adjacent network nodes. On the one hand, it must be decided which of the trunks of the trunk group which connects the network node in question still has adequate free capacity in order to set up a
- 35 connection.

GR 99 P 1266

- 1a -

On the other hand, one of the connection paths which is conceivable in terms of available capacity must be selected in such a way that

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139
2140
2141
2142
2143
2144
2145
2146
2147
2148
2149
2150
2151
2152
2153
2154
2155
2156
2157
2158
2159
2160
2161
2162
2163
2164
2165
2166
2167
2168
2169
2170
2171
2172
2173
2174
2175
2176
2177
2178
2179
2180
2181
2182
2183
2184
2185
2186
2187
2188
2189
2190
2191
2192
2193
2194
2195
2196
2197
2198
2199
2200
2201
2202
2203
2204
2205
2206
2207
2208
2209
2210
2211
2212
2213
2214
2215
2216
2217
2218
2219
2220
2221
2222
2223
2224
2225
2226
2227
2228
2229
22

optimum grade of service is achieved. This is necessary insofar as the selected connection path is intended to ensure minimal blocking probability and associated low connection loss probability for subsequent connections.

- 5 A method with which these two tasks (search and selection) can be performed is referred to as a hunting strategy.
- 10 A hunting strategy of this type is known from the document entitled "Probability of Loss of Data Traffic with different Bit Rates Hunting One Common PCM Channel, Proceedings of the 8th International Teletraffic Congress (ITC 8), 1976, pp. 525.1 -525.8,
- 15 Lothar Katzschner, and Reinhard Scheller".

According to this document, a sequential search of all relevant trunks is carried out. An attempt is made here to determine the "smallest gap", which can still

20 accommodate the new connection. The search process is started with the first trunk in the trunk group and is continued step-by-step until all trunks are checked. On the one hand, the transmission capacity which is still

25 freely available on the trunk in relation to the peak bit rate of the connection which is to be accommodated is used as the selection criterion. An investigation is carried out to ascertain whether the transmission

30 capacity which is still freely available is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of this connection. In practice, a plurality of trunks may satisfy this

35 criterion. On the other hand, the trunk on which the least residual transmission capacity remains on acceptance of the new connection is then determined. The new connection is accepted on this trunk. If no

adequate free transmission capacity is found, the connection concerned is rejected.

This known method was developed in particular for a homogeneous traffic characteristic, where each connection set-up began with the same capacity requirement of 64 kbit/s per connection. However, this traffic homogeneity on connection set-up is often no longer available in current communications networks. Along with conventional 64 kbit/s narrowband connections, $n \times 64$ kbit/s wideband connections, for example, occur (in the case of STM-based, connection-oriented, multiple-rate services), or even broadband connections with any given bit rate granularity in the case of ATM traffic.

However, this creates completely new requirements for the connection set-up. Thus, the traffic performance capability must equally be as high and robust as possible with minimal reciprocal interference for all traffic types. In the case of ATM traffic, this results in the requirement for load distribution which is as even as possible over all trunks of a trunk group. Otherwise, connections on trunks with high utilization would suffer a greater delay period in the associated queues than on trunks with lower utilization.

The disadvantage of the known hunting strategy method is that, with high utilization of the trunk group, in the event of a connection request for a high bit rate connection, it may no longer be possible in some cases to accept this connection, since, although many gaps are available, none of them is large enough. Furthermore, in particular in the case of low utilization of the trunk group, uneven load distribution ("unbalanced load") results.

The object of the invention is to indicate a way in which connection paths can be determined in a

communications network, even with non-homogeneous traffic.

The object is achieved on the basis of the features indicated in the preamble to claim 1 by means of the features indicated in the characterizing part.

- 5 A particularly advantageous feature of the invention is the provision of a new hunting strategy. The search to identify the largest gaps for high bit rate connections produces excellent results in terms of blocking probability and load distribution. The search to find
10 the smallest suitable gap used in the state of the art is thus clearly surpassed.

Advantageous further developments of the invention are indicated in subclaim 2.

- 15 The invention is explained in detail below with reference to an embodiment shown in the drawing, in which:

- 20 Fig 1 shows the configuration on which the method according to the invention is carried out;
Fig 2 shows the search algorithm according to the invention.

- 25 Fig 1 shows a communications network. For the sake of simplicity, only 4 network nodes $N_1...N_4$ are indicated. Two network nodes, for example network nodes N_1, N_4 are interconnected via a trunk group TG. A plurality of trunks $T_1...T_n$ are disposed in the trunk group TG. Each of
30 the trunks $T_1...T_n$ has a specified transmission capacity C_s as the physical transmission parameter. The residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ ($i=1...n$) which is freely available for further connections is derived from the physical transmission capacity C_s minus the sum

of the peak bit rates R_{pj} of the m connections ($j=1, 2, \dots, m$) instantaneously carried thereover.

It is assumed below that a connection V is to be set up
5 from the network node N_1 to the network node N_4 . The corresponding relationships are illustrated in fig. 2.

Accordingly, a classification of the connections into
highest bit rates and non-highest bit rate connections
10 HBR, N-HBR is initially carried out. The criterion determining which connections are to be regarded as having the highest bit rate, is, for example, prescribed by the corresponding service. The trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ are then subsequently examined to ascertain
15 whether the new connection V can be accommodated. A different hunting strategy is adopted according to the association of the connection V with the classes HBR, N-HBR.

20 The hunting strategy is generally started with the first trunk T_1 of the trunk group TG and always ends with the last trunk T_n , for highest bit rate connections after one search cycle, and for non-highest bit rate connections after one or two search cycles.

25 A highest bit rate connection V associated with class HBR is accepted on one of the trunks $T_1 \dots T_n$ if the free residual transmission capacity of the latter most exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection. The new
30 connection V is thus sorted into the "largest gap". As shown in fig 2, two criteria are thus required for sorting. On the one hand, the freely available residual transmission capacity of the trunk T_1 currently being examined must be equal to or greater than the peak bit
35 rate of the new connection V . On the other hand, the

free residual transmission capacity must most exceed the peak bit rate of the new connection V. To do this, a variable $C_r_Letzt_Optimum$ is introduced, in which the greatest currently determined value is always recorded.

- 5 For the highest bit rate connections

of the class HBR, the search always ends accordingly after one search cycle.

If the new connection V is a non-highest bit rate connection which is allocated to the class N-HBR, it is accepted on one of the trunks $T_1...T_n$ if the free residual transmission capacity of the latter, following subtraction of a largest possible multiple of the peak bit rate $R_p(\text{HBR})$ of highest bit rate connections ("ensuring the largest gaps for high bit rate connections"), least exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection V. The new connection V is thus sorted into the "smallest gap following subtraction of the largest possible reservation budget for connections of the class HBR". As shown in fig. 2, two criteria are thus required for sorting. On the one hand, the remainder from modulo-division of the freely available residual transmission capacity of the currently examined trunk T_i by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections must be equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the new connection V. On the other hand, the remainder from modulo-division of the free residual transmission capacity by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections must least exceed the peak bit rate of the new connection V. To do this, a variable $C_r_Letzt_Optimum$ is introduced here also, in which the lowest currently determined value is always recorded. If the search according to the aforementioned criteria is successful, the search ends after one search cycle for non-highest bit rate connections of the class N-HBR.

If the new connection V cannot be sorted on any of the trunks, a second search cycle is started. The connection V is then - without taking into account a reservation budget for connections of the class HBR -

- 6a -

accepted on one of the trunks $T_1...T_n$ if the free residual transmission capacity of the latter least exceeds the peak bit rate of the connection. The new connection V is thus sorted into the "smallest gap". As shown

in Fig. 2, two criteria are required for sorting. On the one hand, the freely available residual transmission capacity of the currently examined trunk T_1 must be equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the new connection V. On the other hand, the free residual transmission capacity must least exceed the peak bit rate of the new connection V. To do this, the lowest currently determined value is always recorded in the variable $C_{r_Letzt_Optimum}$. For non-highest bit rate connections of the class N-HBR, the search ends accordingly after this second search cycle at the latest.

The current embodiment has referred to connections in general. This may involve connections of any given type. Thus, connections which transmit information according to a synchronous transfer method (STM) can be set up using the method according to the invention as well as connections which transmit information according to an asynchronous transfer mode (ATM).

Claims

1. A method for determining a connection path in a communications network, with
- 5 a plurality of connections which are in each case routed via a further plurality of trunks ($T_1...T_n$) between two adjacent network nodes ($N_1...N_i$), and which reserve transmission capacities (R_{pj}) on these trunks ($T_1...T_n$), and with
- 10 at least one further connection (V), which is also to be accommodated on one of these trunks ($T_1...T_n$) in that a search algorithm determines the trunk ($T_1...T_n$) on which, on the basis of an acceptance criterion, this connection (V) can still be accommodated,
- 15 characterized in that
- a classification of the (at least one) further connection (V) into two classes (HBR, N-HBR) is carried out,
- a check is carried out in the event of association with
- 20 one class (HBR) to ascertain whether the freely available residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ of the currently investigated trunk (T_i) is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the (at least one) further connection (V) and, in this case, the trunk whose free residual transmission capacity most exceeds the peak
- 25 bit rate of this connection (V) is selected from the trunks determined in this way, and
- in the event of association with the remaining class (N-HBR), a check is carried out to ascertain whether
- 30 the remainder from modulo-division of the freely available residual transmission capacity $C_r(T_i)$ of the currently investigated trunk (T_i) by the peak bit rate ($R_p(\text{HBR})$) of highest bit rate connections is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the (at least one)
- 35 further connection (V) and, in this case, the trunk whose remainder from modulo-division of the free

- 8a -

residual transmission capacity by the peak bit rate of highest bit rate connections least exceeds the peak bit rate of this connection (V) is selected from the trunks determined in this way, or

otherwise a further search cycle is started to check whether the freely available residual transmission capacity ($C_r(T_i)$) of the currently investigated trunk (T_i) is equal to or greater than the peak bit rate of the (at least one) further connection (V) and, in this case, the trunk whose free residual transmission capacity least exceeds the peak bit rate of this connection (V) is selected from the trunks determined in this way.

10

2. The method according to claim 1, characterized in that a search cycle of the hunting strategy is started with the first trunks (T_1) of the trunk group (TG), is applied to all trunks ($T_1...T_n$) and ends with the last trunk (T_n).

15

Abstract

Method for determining a connection path in a communications network between two adjacent network nodes

In order to authorize a connection on a trunk group comprising a plurality of trunks between two adjacent network nodes, a search algorithm determines the trunk on which this connection can still be accommodated. To do this, a classification of this new connection is first carried out, according to which different search cycles are instigated, with which a trunk with adequate free residual transmission capacity is determined. If the search is unsuccessful, the connection is rejected.

1/3

FIG 1






FIG 2A



3/3

FIG 2B



Declaration and Power of Attorney For Patent Application

Erklärung Für Patentanmeldungen Mit Vollmacht

German Language Declaration

Als nachstehend benannter Erfinder erkläre ich hiermit an Eides Statt:

das mein Wohnsitz, meine Postanschrift, und meine Staatsangehörigkeit den im Nachstehenden nach meinem Namen aufgeführten Angaben entsprechen,

das ich, nach bestem Wissen der ursprüngliche, erste und alleinige Erfinder (falls nachstehend nur ein Name angegeben ist) oder ein ursprünglicher, erster und Miterfinder (falls nachstehend mehrere Namen aufgeführt sind) des Gegenstandes bin, für den dieser Antrag gestellt wird und für den ein Patent beantragt wird für die Erfindung mit dem Titel:

Verfahren zum Ermitteln eines
Verbindungsweges in einem
Kommunikationsnetz zwischen zwei
benachbarten Netzknoten

deren Beschreibung

(zutreffendes ankreuzen)

☐ hier beigefügt ist.

☒ am 02.02.2000 als

PCT internationale Anmeldung

PCT Anwendungsnummer PCT/DE00/00315

eingereicht wurde und am

abgeändert wurde (falls tatsächlich abgeändert).

Ich bestätige hiermit, dass ich den Inhalt der obigen Patentanmeldung einschliesslich der Ansprüche durchgesehen und verstanden habe, die eventuell durch einen Zusatzantrag wie oben erwähnt abgeändert wurde.

Ich erkenne meine Pflicht zur Offenbarung irgendwelcher Informationen, die für die Prüfung der vorliegenden Anmeldung in Einklang mit Absatz 37, Bundesgesetzbuch, Paragraph 1.56(a) von Wichtigkeit sind, an.

Ich beanspruche hiermit ausländische Prioritätsvorteile gemäss Abschnitt 35 der Zivilprozessordnung der Vereinigten Staaten, Paragraph 119 aller unten angegebenen Auslandsanmeldungen für ein Patent oder eine Erfindersurkunde, und habe auch alle Auslandsanmeldungen für ein Patent oder eine Erfindersurkunde nachstehend gekennzeichnet, die ein Anmeldedatum haben, das vor dem Anmeldedatum der Anmeldung liegt, für die Priorität beansprucht wird.

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

Method for determining a communication
path in a communication network
between two neighboring network nodes

the specification of which

(check one)

☐ is attached hereto.

☒ was filed on 02.02.2000 as

PCT international application

PCT Application No. PCT/DE00/00315

and was amended on _____ (if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

German Language Declaration

Prior foreign applications
Priorität beansprucht

Priority Claimed

19907923.4

DE

24.02.1999



(Number)
(Nummer)

(Country)
(Land)

(Day Month Year Filed)
(Tag Monat Jahr eingereicht)

Yes

No

Ja

Nein

(Number)
(Nummer)

(Country)
(Land)

(Day Month Year Filed)
(Tag Monat Jahr eingereicht)



Yes

No

Ja

Nein

(Number)
(Nummer)

(Country)
(Land)

(Day Month Year Filed)
(Tag Monat Jahr eingereicht)



Yes

No

Ja

Nein

Ich beanspruche hiermit gemäss Absatz 35 der Zivilprozessordnung der Vereinigten Staaten, Paragraph 120, den Vorzug aller unten aufgeführten Anmeldungen und falls der Gegenstand aus jedem Anspruch dieser Anmeldung nicht in einer früheren amerikanischen Patentanmeldung laut dem ersten Paragraphen des Absatzes 35 der Zivilprozessordnung der Vereinigten Staaten, Paragraph 122 offenbart ist, erkenne ich gemäss Absatz 37, Bundesgesetzbuch, Paragraph 1.56(a) meine Pflicht zur Offenbarung von Informationen an, die zwischen dem Anmeldedatum der früheren Anmeldung und dem nationalen oder PCT internationalen Anmeldedatum dieser Anmeldung bekannt geworden sind.

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §122, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

PCT/DE00/00315

(Application Serial No.)
(Anmeldeseriennummer)

02.02.2000

(Filing Date D, M, Y)
(Anmeldedatum T, M, J)

anhängig

(Status)
(patentiert, anhängig,
aufgegeben)

pending

(Status)
(patented, pending,
abandoned)

(Application Serial No.)
(Anmeldeseriennummer)

(Filing Date D,M,Y)
(Anmeldedatum T, M; J)

(Status)
(patentiert, anhängig,
aufgeben)

(Status)
(patented, pending,
abandoned)

Ich erkläre hiermit, dass alle von mir in der vorliegenden Erklärung gemachten Angaben nach meinem besten Wissen und Gewissen der vollen Wahrheit entsprechen, und dass ich diese eidesstattliche Erklärung in Kenntnis dessen abgebe, dass wissentlich und vorsätzlich falsche Angaben gemäss Paragraph 1001, Absatz 18 der Zivilprozessordnung der Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika mit Geldstrafe belegt und/oder Gefängnis bestraft werden können, und dass derartige wissentlich und vorsätzlich falsche Angaben die Gültigkeit der vorliegenden Patentanmeldung oder eines darauf erteilten Patentes gefährden können.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

German Language Declaration

VERTRETUNGSVOLLMACHT: Als benannter Erfinder beauftrage ich hiermit den nachstehend benannten Patentanwalt (oder die nachstehend benannten Patentanwälte) und/oder Patent-Agenten mit der Verfolgung der vorliegenden Patentanmeldung sowie mit der Abwicklung aller damit verbundenen Geschäfte vor dem Patent- und Warenzeichenamt: (Name und Registrationsnummer anführen)

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. (list name and registration number)

Customer No. 25227

And I hereby appoint

Telefongespräche bitte richten an:
(Name und Telefonnummer)

Direct Telephone Calls to: (name and telephone number)

Ext. _____

Postanschrift:

Send Correspondence to:

Morrison and Foerster LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Ave., NW 20006-1888 Washington, DC
Telephone: (001) 202 887 1500 and Facsimile (001) 202 887 0763

or
Customer No. 25227

Voller Name des einzigen oder ursprünglichen Erfinders: CLEMENS HAUBER		Full name of sole or first inventor: CLEMENS HAUBER	
Unterschrift des Erfinders <i>Clemens Hauber</i>	Datum 12.06.01	Inventor's signature	Date
Wohnsitz MUENCHEN, DEUTSCHLAND		Residence MUENCHEN, GERMANY	
Staatsangehörigkeit DE		Citizenship DE	
Postanschrift BLEIBTREUSTR.19 D		Post Office Address BLEIBTREUSTR.19 D	
81479 MUENCHEN		81479 MUENCHEN	
Voller Name des zweiten Miterfinders (falls zutreffend):		Full name of second joint inventor, if any:	
Unterschrift des Erfinders	Datum	Second Inventor's signature	Date
Wohnsitz		Residence	
Staatsangehörigkeit		Citizenship	
Postanschrift		Post Office Address	

(Bitte entsprechende Informationen und Unterschriften im Falle von dritten und weiteren Miterfindern angeben).

(Supply similar information and signature for third and subsequent joint inventors).